

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. P ART9901 HILAIRE 09/27/99 09/405,946 **EXAMINER** QM12/0717 MENDEZ, M JAMES J LEARY SUITE 330 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 505 WEST OLIVE AVENUE 3763 SUNNYVALE CA 94086 DATE MAILED: 07/17/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/405,946 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Manuel Mendez

Art Unit 3763

HILAIRE

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 30 DAYS MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 				
ea	rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 3, 2001			
_				
2a) □				
3) ∐	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposi	tion of Claims		1	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u>	is/are pend	ling in the application.	
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.	
5) 🗆	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		allowed.	
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are	rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		objected to.	
8) 💢	Claims 1-18			
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
Attachment(s)				
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1920) Other:	PRIMARY EXAMINER	

Application/Control Number: 09/405,946 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner noticed that the connector in claims 1-4 and 7-12 does not have a Y shape as shown in the drawings. Based on the shape differences between the claims of record, the following restriction is presented by the examiner.

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-4 and 7-12, drawn to a valved connector, classified in class 604, subclass 30.
 - II. Claims 5-6 and 13-18, drawn to a y-shape connector, classified in class 604, subclass 284.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the Y-shaped connector is not essential for group I above.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/405,946 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Mendez whose telephone number is (703) 308-2221.

July 16, 2001

MANUEL MENDEZ PRIMARY EXAMINER

1